

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The Decision on Appeal action dated July 27, 2011 has been received and carefully considered. By this amendment, Claims 27, 28, 44, 50-52, 64, 69-70, 75, 77-78, 80, 82, and 101-109 are amended. Claim 87 was cancelled. After entry of this Amendment, claims 27-28, 33-52, 54-84, and 90-109 will be pending. In view of these amendments and the following remarks, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration.

35 USC §103

The Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences rejected Claims 75, 76, 78-81, 83, 84, 90-96, and 100 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as obvious over Kip in view of Carroll, and rejected Claims 27, 28, 33-44, 48-52, 54-64, 68-74, 77, 87, 102, 103, and 107-109 as obvious over Kip in view of Moskowitz and Carroll. The Board held that Carroll teaches an on-chip antenna that provides sufficient power to operate the chip. The Applicant respectfully disagrees, but to further prosecution has amended independent claims to recite that a receiving antenna used to power the battery powering the chip and a transmission antenna used to transmit data from the chip. The prior art fails to teach these limitations.

Request For Allowance

Claims 27-28, 33-52, 54-84, 87, and 90-109 are pending in this application. The Applicants request allowance of all pending claims.

Respectfully submitted,
Fish & Associates, PC

Date: September 27, 2011

By: /Andrew Mar/
Andrew Mar
Reg. No. 59638

Fish & Associates, PC
2603 Main Street, Suite 1000
Irvine, CA 92614-4271
Telephone (949) 943-8300
Fax (949) 943-8358